Message Text

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 NEA-10 /025 R 66619 DRAFTED BY:OES/HDBENGELSDORG:VM APPROVED BY:OES:HDBENGELSDORG **NEA/EGY-MR MATTHEWS** ----- 027901 P 181625Z SEP 75 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION IAEA VIENNA PRIORITY CONFIDENTIAL STATE 222562 PASS MYRON KRATZER ARRIVING VIENNA EVENING 9/18. FOLLOWING REPEAT CAIRO 9195 ACTION SECSTATE 16 SEP 75 QUOTE CONFIDENTIAL CAIRO 9195 FOR ASST SECRETARY ATHERTON E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: ENRG EG SUBJECT: NUCLEAR ENERGY 1. AMBASSADOR HAS RECEIVED LETTER FROM DR. KEMAL EFFAT, DIRECTOR, ATOMIC ENERGY ESTABLISHMENT DATED SEPT 13, WHICH QUOTED BELOW AS RECEIVED: QUOTE - YOUR EXCELLENCY, 1. I HAVE THE HONOR TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE RECEIPT OF NOTE NO 623 SENT BY THE EMBASSY TO THE MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND THE TWO ATTACHED ENCLOSURES CONTAINING THE RESPONSES OF THE AMERICAN SIDE TO THE TECHNICAL POINTS RAISED IN THE TWO NOTES PREVIOUSLY SENT TO THE USAEC BY THE EGYTIAN SIDE IN CONFIDENTIAL

AUGUST AND NOVEMBER 1974, CONCERNING THE AGREEMENT OF

CONFIDENTIAL

ORIGIN OES-04

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 222562

61

PAGE 01 STATE 222562

COOPERATION, BETWEEN THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT AND THE USA, FOR THE CIVIL USES OF ATOMIC ENERGY.

WITH RESPECT TO THE TECHNICAL ISSUES OF THE AGREEMENT, THERE ARE STILL FEW POINTS WHICH NEED FURTHER DISCUSSION OR CLARIFICATION, SOME OF THE MAIN OUTSTANDING POINTS ARE THE FOLLOLING:

1. REGARDING ARTICLE VIII. F CONCERNING THE PHYSICAL SECURITY MEASURES, THE POINTS RAISED BY THE EGYPTIAN SIDE WERE NOT CONCERNED WITH THE TEXT OF ARTICLE VIII. F ITSELF WHICH IS QUITE ACCEPTABLE AS IT STANDS IN THE ORIGINAL TEXT, AND HENCE THE NEW PROPOSED REVISED TEXT BY THE AMERICAN SIDE IS NOT NECESSARY. OUR COMMENTS WERE HOWEVER ADDRESSED TO THE PARAGRAPH IN THE NOTE ATTACHED TO THE AGREEMENT, STATING THAT:

QUOTE THE INITIATION AND CONTINUATION OF COOPERATIVE ACTIVITIES UNDER THE AGREEMENT WILL RQUIRE PRIOR AGREEMENT UPON THE EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH ARRANGEMENTS. UNQUOTE

WHILE WE AGREE WITH THE AMERICAN SIDE UPON THE EXTREME IMPORTANCE OF PHYSICAL SECURITY ARRANGEMENTS, WE CONSIDER THE ABOVE STATEMENT TO BE OF NEGATIVE CHARACTER, AND WHAT IS NEEDED TO BE STRESED HERE IS THE POSITIVE SIDE NAMELY THE COOPERATION OF THE TWO SIDES IN THE ELABORATION OF A SUITABLE AND EFFECTIVE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM, AND THE PROVISION BY THE USA OF THE NEEDED TRAINING AND EQUIPMENT FOR THE EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PHYSICAL SECURITY MEASURES TO BE AGREED UPON.

2. REGARDING THE REFERENCE IN ARTICLE VIII. C TO SOURCE MATERIAL, THE EGYPTIAN SIDE AGREES TO THIS PROVISION WITH THE UNDERSTANDING GIVEN IN POINT (1) OF THE AMERICAN SIDE RESPONSE (ENCLOSURE TO NO 623) STATING THAT - QUOTE (ORIGINAL LETTER CONTAINS NO END TO QUOTE) SOME MATERIAL PRODUCED IN EGYPT BY A JOINT VENTURE BETWEEN US COMPANIES AND EGYPT WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO ARTICLE VIII. C SOLELY BECAUSE OF THE JOINT VENTURE ITSELF. SUCH MATERIAL WOULD HAVE TO BECOME SUBJECT TO ARTICLE X, XI OR XII OF THE AGREEMENT IN ORDER TO COME WITHIN THE SCOPE OF ARTICLE VII. C REFERENCE IN ARTICLE X TO MATERIAL OTHER CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 222562

THAN SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL ARE ONLY WITH RESPECT TO THAT TRANSFERRED TO EGYPT. HENCE SOURCE MATERIAL PRODUCED IN EGYPT AS A RESULT OF JOINT VENTURE WITH AMERICAN COMPANIES WOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY BE COVERED BY THE ARTICLE UNLESS THE PARTIES SO AGREED OR UNLESS SOURCE MATERIAL HAVING BEEN SENT TOTHE US WAS TRANSFERRED BACK TO EGYPT UNDER THE AGREEMENT. THEREBY SUBJECTING IT TO THE AGREEMENT.

- 3. REGARDING THE PROPOSAL FOR THE USE OF 1200 MW IN ARTICLE IX, THE EGYPTIAN SIDE TOOK NOTE THAT THIS PROPOSAL IS UNDER STUDY BY THE AMERICAN SIDE AND IS LOOKING FORWARD FOR YOUR AGREEMENT TO THIS PROPOSAL.
- 4. THE QUESTION OF THE FABRICATION, STORAGE AND REPROCESSING BEING CARRIED OUT IN FACILITIES OUTSIDE EGYPT, AND THE EFFECT ON THE FUTURE ECONOMICS OF NUCLEAR POWER STILL NEEDS FURTHER DISCUSSION AND MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING.

WE AGREE THAT OUTSTANDING CLAUSES RELATING TO SAFEGUARDS AND CONTROLS IN THE AGREEMENT AND THE DIPLOMATIC NOTE ATTACHED TO IT, WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE POLITCAL LEVEL.

PLEASE ACCEPT THE ASSURANCES OF MY HIGHEST CONSIDERATION.

YOURS SINCERELY. UNQUOTE

- 2. EFFAT'S LETTER, ALTHOUGH PURPORTING TO FURTHER SETTLEMENT TECHNICAL ISSUES, DOES NOT PROVIDE BASIS FOR FINAL RESOLUTION OF SO-CALLED TECHNICAL ASPECTS. EFFAT OBVIOUSLY HAS REACHED LIMIT OF HIS NEGOTIATING ABILITY AND IS HOPING TO RAISE ISSUE TO HIGHER LEVEL BY PROVIDING NOTE AT THIS TIME.
- 3. IN CALL SEPT 16 UNDERSECRETARY MINISTRY OF ELECTRICITY MAHER ABAZA INQUIRED IF WE HAD RECEIVED EFFAT'S LETTER. HE SAID LETTER HAD BEEN SENT IN ORDER TO RESOLVE "TECHNICAL QUESTIONS" WHICH WOULD PAVE WAY FOR POSSIBLE COMPLETION OF AGREEMENT DURING PRESIDENT SADAT'S VISIT. WE POINTED OUT TO HIM THAT TECHNICAL QUESTIONS WERE NOT RESOLVED BY CURRENT EGYPTIAN NOTE. BUT INDICATED WE ARE SENDING NOTE TO WASHINGTON FOR STUDY.
- 4. COMMENT: PER CAIRO 9157, EGYPTIAN BUREAUCRACY, AT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 222562

LEAST, IS CLEARLY THINKING IN TERMS OF OCT VISIT FOR PRES SADAT TO RAISE ONCE AGAIN POSSIBILITY OF NUCLEAR COOPERATION AGREEMENT. IT IS ONLY MATTER OF TIME WE BELIEVE BEFORE HIGHER POLITICAL LEVELS OF THIS GOVERNMENT ALSO BEGIN TO TAKE THIS TACK. WE WILL BE WELL ADVISED, THEREFORE, TO DEVELOP OUR POSITION ON THIS QUESTION. BELIEVE WE SHOULD GIVE PROMPT REPLY TO POINTS RAISED IN EFFAT'S CURRENT LETTER IN ORDER TO KEEP TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS IN THE ACTIVE STAGE.

UNQUOTE KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL.

	Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006
NNN	
INININ	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: NUCLEAR ENERGY, DIPLOMATIC NOTES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 SEP 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: buchant0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE222562

Document Number: 1975STATE222562
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: OES/HDBENGELSDORG:VM

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: D750324-0631 From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750939/aaaabidj.tel Line Count: 164 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN OES Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: buchant0

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 03 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 APR 2003 by WorrelSW>; APPROVED <29 DEC 2003 by buchant0>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NUCLEAR ENERGY TAGS: ENRG, EG, US, (EFFAT, KEMAL)

To: IAEA VIENNA

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006